

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 25/09/2025

Surveyor: Brian Ogden - Arboricultural Officer

### Tree details

2/TPO/00709

TPO Ref (if applicable):

Tree/Group No: W1

Species: Mixed broadleaved

Owner (if known): TBE

Location: Land to the north of 140-176 Elvington Kings

## REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

### Part 1: Amenity assessment

#### a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- |                          |                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good                  | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair/satisfactory     | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor                  | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

**Score & Notes** 5, Young woodland with some older trees. Trees generally free of defects, in good health

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

#### b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

**Score & Notes** 5,  
This woodland should be here for 100+

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

#### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

**Score & Notes** 4  
Situated along a busy footpath/cycleway, and school.

#### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

**Score & Notes** 1  
No other relevant

### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

**Score & Notes** 5  
Unnecessary removal of young trees, poor work standard.

### Part 3: Decision guide

Score	Guide
Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-11	Does not merit TPO
12-15	TPO defensible
16+	Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:

20

Decision:

Definitely merits